

HOPF EXTENSIONS OF ALGEBRAS AND MASCHKE TYPE THEOREMS

BY

YUKIO DOI

Fukui University, Fukui 910, Japan

ABSTRACT

Let B/C be an A -extension for a Hopf algebra A . We consider two Maschke-type questions: first, for an exact sequence of (A, B) -Hopf modules which splits B -linearly, when does it split (A, B) -linearly? Second, for an exact sequence of B -modules which splits C -linearly, when does it split B -linearly? Finally, we consider when the pair of the restriction functor from $\text{mod-}B$ to $\text{mod-}C$ and the induction functor $(\) \otimes_C B$ is an adjoint pair of functors.

We shall discuss two different types of Maschke theorems for Hopf algebras, with some applications. Let A be a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R and B/C an A -extension. In §1 we first show that for every exact sequence of (A, B) -Hopf modules which splits B -linearly, it also splits (A, B) -linearly if there exists a total integral satisfying some specific conditions. As an application in the case when R is a field, we get that an (A, B) -Hopf module is finitely generated projective as a B -module if and only if it is a Hopf module direct summand of $W \otimes B$ for some finite dimensional A -comodule W . We next prove that if A is R -flat, there exists a total integral ϕ with $\phi(A) \subset Z(B)$, and the map $\beta : B \otimes_C B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, $b' \otimes b \rightarrow \sum b'_0 \otimes b_1$, is surjective, then β is bijective, that is, B/C is A -Galois.

In §2 we assume the Hopf algebra A is finitely generated projective over R . We first extend "Maschke's Theorem" for group crossed products [Pas, Lemma 1.1] to the case of Hopf Galois extensions. In particular, if there exists a right integral Λ in A with $\varepsilon(\Lambda) = 1$, then every A -Galois extension is a separable extension. Finally we prove that if the dual Hopf algebra A^* has a

nonzero left integral which is unique up to a scalar multiple, as is the case when $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$, and B/C is an A -Galois extension, then the pair of the restriction functor from $\text{mod-}B$ to $\text{mod-}C$ and the induced functor $(-)\otimes_C B$ is an adjoint pair of functors.

We freely use the notations of [S] and [D1].

§1. Throughout, A denotes a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R with comultiplication Δ , counit ε , and antipode S . We denote by B/C an A -extension B of an R -algebra C ; thus B is a right A -comodule algebra with $C = \{b \in B \mid \rho_B(b) = b \otimes 1\}$. Let \mathcal{M}_B^A denote the category of right (A, B) -Hopf modules; thus an object is a right B -module M which is also a right A -comodule such that $\rho_M(mb) = \sum m_0 b_0 \otimes m_1 b_1$ for $m \in M$ and $b \in B$, and a morphism is a B -module map which is also an A -comodule map.

We now assume that there exists a total integral $\phi: A \rightarrow B$, i.e., $\rho_B \phi = (\phi \otimes \text{id})\Delta$ and $\phi(1) = 1$. For $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^A$, we define the trace map associated with ϕ as follows;

$$\text{tr}_M: M \rightarrow M, \quad m \rightarrow \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_1)).$$

We have that $\text{tr}_M(m)$ lies in $M_0 = \{m \in M \mid \rho_M(m) = m \otimes 1\}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M(\text{tr}_M(m)) &= \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_3)) \otimes m_1 S(m_2) = \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_2)) \otimes \varepsilon(m_1) 1 \\ &= \text{tr}_M(m) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the condition $\phi(1) = 1$ implies that tr_M is the identity on M_0 . In particular, $\text{tr}_B: B \rightarrow C$ is a left C -module projection, hence C is a left C -module direct summand of B . Note that M_0 is a right C -module and if $\phi(A) \subset B^c$, the centralizer of C in B , then tr_M is a right C -module map from M onto M_0 .

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^A$ and $V \subset M_0$ be a C -submodule of M_0 . For $m \in VB \cap M_0$, we have $m = \text{tr}_M(m) \in \text{tr}_M(VB) \subset VC \subset V$. It follows that $VB \cap M_0 = V$. Hence, if M is artinian (or noetherian) as a B -module then it is artinian (or noetherian) as a C -module.

For every $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^A$, we can view $M \otimes A$ as a right (A, B) -Hopf module by $(m \otimes a) \cdot b = \sum m b_0 \otimes a b_1$ and $\rho_{M \otimes A}(m \otimes a) = \sum m \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2$, and then $\rho_M: M \rightarrow M \otimes A$ becomes a morphism of \mathcal{M}_B^A . We now define

$$q_M: M \otimes A \rightarrow M, \quad m \otimes a \rightarrow \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_1 S(a))).$$

LEMMA. (1) $q_M \rho_M = \text{id}_M$.

(2) If $\phi(A) \subset Z(B)$, the center of B , then q_M is a B -module map, i.e., $q_M(m \otimes a)b = \sum q_M(mb_0 \otimes ab_1)$. Furthermore, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_C B & \xrightarrow{\beta_M} & M \otimes A \\ \text{tr}_M \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow q_M \\ M_0 \otimes_C B & \xrightarrow{\Psi_M} & M \end{array}$$

where we define $\beta_M(m \otimes b) = \sum mb_0 \otimes b_1$ and $\Psi_M(m \otimes b) = mb$.

(3) q_M is a morphism of \mathcal{M}_B^A if either of the following two conditions is fulfilled:

(i) A is involutory (i.e., $S^2 = \text{id}$), $\phi(A) \subset Z(B)$ and

$$\phi(aa') = \phi(a'a) \quad \text{for all } a, a' \in A,$$

(ii) B is faithful as an R -module and $\phi(A) \subset R$.

PROOF. (1) Let $m \in M$. Then

$$q_M(\rho_M(m)) = \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_1 S(m_2))) = \sum m_0 \phi(S(\varepsilon(m_1)1)) = m \phi(S(1)) = m.$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} q_M(\sum mb_0 \otimes ab_1) &= \sum m_0 b_0 \phi(S(m_1 b_1 S(ab_2))) \\ &= \sum m_0 b_0 \phi(S(m_1 b_1 S(b_2) S(a))) \\ &= \sum m_0 b \phi(S(m_1 S(a))) \\ &= q_M(m \otimes a)b \quad (\text{by } \phi(A) \subset Z(B)), \end{aligned}$$

which shows the first assertion. The diagram clearly commutes from this.

(3) By (2), it suffices to verify that q_M is an A -comodule map.

If (i) holds, then $q_M(m \otimes a) = \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_1)a)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M q_M(m \otimes a) &= \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_3)a_1) \otimes m_1 S(m_2)a_2 = \sum m_0 \phi(S(m_1)a_1) \otimes a_2 \\ &= \sum q_M(m \otimes a_1) \otimes a_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next suppose that (ii) holds. In this case, we have for $a \in A$, $\sum \phi(a_1)a_2 = \phi(a)1$, and so $\sum \phi(S(a_2))S(a_1) = \phi(S(a))1$. If we let $\lambda = \phi S$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum a_1 \lambda(a_2) &= \sum a_1 \phi(S(a_2)) = \sum a_1 S(a_2) \phi(S(a_3)) = \sum \varepsilon(a_1) \phi(S(a_2)) 1 \\ &= \phi(S(a)) 1 = \lambda(a) 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get for all $a \in A$,

$$\sum a_1 \lambda(a_2) = \lambda(a) 1.$$

Using this we show that q_M is an A -comodule map. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}(q_M \otimes \text{id})\rho_{M \otimes A}(m \otimes a) &= \sum m_0 \lambda(m_1 S(a_1)) \otimes a_2 = \sum m_0 \otimes \lambda(m_1 S(a_1)) a_2 \\ &= \sum m_0 \otimes m_1 S(a_2) \lambda(m_2 S(a_1)) a_3 = \sum m_0 \otimes m_1 \lambda(m_2 S(a)) \\ &= \sum m_0 \lambda(m_2 S(a)) \otimes m_1 = \rho_M q_M(m \otimes a).\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

We next remove the commutativity hypothesis from [D1, Theorem (1.6)] obtaining a Maschke type theorem for Hopf modules.

THEOREM 1. *Let B/C be an A -extension with a total integral ϕ , and suppose that (i) or (ii) above holds. Then for every exact sequence of (A, B) -Hopf modules*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

which splits B -linearly, it also splits (A, B) -linearly.

PROOF. We will show that if $j: M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism of \mathcal{M}_B^A such that there exists a B -module map $p: N \rightarrow M$ with $pj = \text{id}_M$, then there exists a morphism $\tilde{p}: N \rightarrow M$ in \mathcal{M}_B^A such that $\tilde{p}j = \text{id}_M$. Define $\tilde{p}: N \rightarrow M$ by $\tilde{p} = q_M(p \otimes \text{id})\rho_N$. Then for $n \in N$ and $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{p}(nb) &= q_M(\sum p(n_0)b_0 \otimes n_1 b_1) \quad (\text{since } p \text{ is } B\text{-linear}) \\ &= q_M(\sum p(n_0) \otimes n_1)b \quad (\text{by (2) of Lemma}) \\ &= \tilde{p}(n)b, \quad \text{thus } \tilde{p} \text{ is a } B\text{-module map.}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_M \tilde{p} &= \rho_M q_M(p \otimes \text{id})\rho_N \\ &= (q_M \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(p \otimes \text{id})\rho_N \quad (\text{since } q_M \text{ is an } A\text{-comodule map}) \\ &= (q_M \otimes \text{id})(p \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\rho_N\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (q_M \otimes \text{id})(p \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\rho_N \otimes \text{id})\rho_N \\
 &= (\tilde{p} \otimes \text{id})\rho_N,
 \end{aligned}$$

this shows that \tilde{p} is an A -comodule map.

Finally, $\tilde{p}j = q_M(p \otimes \text{id})\rho_N j = q_M(p \otimes \text{id})(j \otimes \text{id})\rho_M = q_M\rho_M = \text{id}_M$ (by (1) of Lemma). \square

We do not know whether Theorem 1 holds for the more general hypothesis $\phi(A) \subset Z(B)$.

As an application of Theorem 1, we obtain a characterization that an (A, B) -Hopf module be projective as a B -module:

THEOREM 2. *Assume R is a field. Let B/C be an A -extension with a total integral ϕ , and suppose that the condition (i) or (ii) holds. Then an (A, B) -Hopf module P is projective as a B -module if and only if P is an (A, B) -Hopf module direct summand of $W \otimes B$ for some A -comodule W , where $W \otimes B$ is considered as (A, B) -Hopf module via $(w \otimes b)b' = w \otimes bb'$ and $\rho_{w \otimes B}(w \otimes b) = \sum w_0 \otimes b_0 \otimes w_1 b_1$. Moreover we may assume that the above W is finite dimensional when P is a finitely generated B -module.*

PROOF. The “if” part is obvious since $W \otimes B$ is a free B -module. To prove the “only if” part, let $G \subset P$ be a subset that generates P as a B -module. Let W be the smallest A -subcomodule of P containing G . We know that if G is a finite set then W is finite dimensional. Observe that the map $W \otimes B \rightarrow P$, $w \otimes b \rightarrow wb$, is a surjective morphism of \mathcal{M}_B^A . Since P is B -projective, it follows from Theorem 1 that P is an (A, B) -Hopf module direct summand of $W \otimes B$.

REMARK. Theorems 1 and 2 are very close in spirit to [B, Proposition (6.6) and Corollary (6.7)], with the Hopf algebra A replaced by a reductive group acting on an affine scheme $\text{Spec}(B)$.

Recall that an A -extension B/C is said to be A -Galois if the map $\beta: B \otimes_C B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, $b' \otimes b \rightarrow \sum b' b_0 \otimes b_1$, is bijective. The next result is an application of (2) in Lemma, and is an improvement of [D1, Theorem (2.5)].

THEOREM 3. *Let A be a Hopf algebra which is a flat R -module. Let B/C be an A -extension such that there exists a total integral $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ with $\phi(A) \subset Z(B)$. If the map β is surjective then it is bijective, that is, B/C is an A -Galois extension.*

PROOF. We first note that for any $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^A$, the map $\Psi_M: M_0 \otimes_C B \rightarrow M$, $m \otimes b \rightarrow mb$, is surjective. This follows from the commutativity of the diagram in (2) of Lemma, since β_M (and q_M) is surjective by $\beta_M = \text{id}_M \otimes_B \beta$.

Let N be the kernel of Ψ_M . Since Ψ_M is a morphism of \mathcal{M}_B^A and A is a flat R -module, N becomes a right (A, B) -Hopf module, and hence $\Psi_N: N_0 \otimes_C B \rightarrow N$ is surjective. But we must have $N_0 = 0$ since $(M_0 \otimes_C B)_0 = M_0$ [DT, the proof in (2.11)(b)], and so we obtain $N = 0$. Thus Ψ_M is bijective for any $M \in \mathcal{M}_B^A$. In particular, β is bijective since we may identify $\beta = \Psi_{B \otimes_A [D1, (2.3)]}$. \square

EXAMPLE. $A = RG$, a group algebra (G not necessarily finite). It is well known that an A -extension of an algebra C is precisely a G -graded algebra $B = \bigoplus_{g \in G} B_g$ with $B_1 = C$ via $\rho(b) = \sum_{g \in G} b_g \otimes g$, and the category \mathcal{M}_B^A is precisely the category of G -graded B -modules. Any R -linear map $\phi: RG \rightarrow B$ is determined by the image of G under ϕ , and it is easy to see that ϕ is a total integral if and only if $\phi(g) \in B_g$ for all $g \in G$ and $\phi(1) = 1$. Thus all RG -extensions have total integrals. For instance, if we set ψ by $\psi(g) = \delta_{g,1}$, then this is a total integral. Hence we may use Theorem 1 so that if $N \subset M$ are G -graded B -modules such that N has a B -complement in M , then it has a (G, B) -complement in M , as is well known. Theorem 3 tells us that an RG -Galois extension means strongly G -graded algebra, which is due to Ulbrich [U]. It is easy to see that a total integral $\phi: RG \rightarrow B$ is convolution-invertible if and only if $\phi(g) \in B_g \cap U(B)$ for all $g \in G$. Thus an RG -cleft extension of C [cf. D2] is nothing but a group crossed product $C \star G$.

§2. We assume throughout this section that A is a finitely generated projective Hopf algebra over a commutative ring R . An element $\Lambda \in A$ is called a right integral if $\Lambda a = \varepsilon(a)\Lambda$ for all $a \in A$. The Hopf algebra A has a non-zero right integral, as is the case when R is a field.

In [Pas, Lemma 1.1], Maschke's classical theorem on group algebras of finite groups was extended to crossed products $C \star G$. We first extend this to the case of Hopf Galois extensions B/C , with essentially the same proof:

THEOREM 4. *Let $\Lambda \in A$ be a non-zero right integral and B/C an A -Galois extension. Let $V' \subset V$ be right B -modules having no $\varepsilon(\Lambda)$ -torsion. If V' is a C -module direct summand of V , then there exists a B -submodule U of V such that $V' \oplus U$ is essential in V as a C -module. Furthermore, if $V = V\varepsilon(\Lambda)$, then V' is a B -module direct summand of V .*

PROOF. Let $\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in B \otimes_C B$ be the element such that $1 \otimes \Lambda = \beta(\sum x_i \otimes y_i)$. Then we claim that the following formulas hold:

- (a) $\sum bx_i \otimes y_i = \sum x_i \otimes y_i b$ for all $b \in B$,
- (b) $\sum x_i y_i = \varepsilon(\Lambda)1$.

Indeed, we have $\beta(\sum bx_i \otimes y_i) = b \otimes \Lambda$ since β is a left B -module map. On the other hand,

$$\beta(\sum x_i \otimes y_i b) = (1 \otimes \Lambda)\rho_B(b) = \sum b_0 \otimes \Lambda b_1 = \sum b_0 \otimes \varepsilon(b_1)\Lambda = b \otimes \Lambda.$$

Thus (a) follows from the injectivity of β . (b) follows immediately from $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\beta$.

Thus a standard argument shows that for any B -module V_1, V_2 , and any C -module map $p: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$, we can form the B -module map;

- (c) $\tilde{p}: V_1 \rightarrow V_2, v \mapsto \sum p(vx_i)y_i$.

Now let $p: V \rightarrow V'$ be a C -module map such that $p(v') = v'$ for all $v' \in V'$. Then $\tilde{p}(v) = \sum p(v'x_i)y_i = \sum v'x_i y_i = v'\varepsilon(\Lambda)$. Hence if $U = \text{Ker}(\tilde{p})$ then U is a B -submodule with $U \cap V' = 0$ since V has no $\varepsilon(\Lambda)$ -torsion. We also have that $V \in (\Lambda) \subset U \oplus V'$ since $v\varepsilon(\Lambda) - \tilde{p}(v) \in U$ for all $v \in V$. It follows that $U \oplus V'$ is essential in V as a C -module. Especially if $V = V\varepsilon(\Lambda)$ then $V = U \oplus V'$ and so V' is a B -module direct summand of V . \square

REMARK. As a consequence we obtain that every Galois extension B/C is a separable extension for A having a right integral Λ in A with $\varepsilon(\Lambda)^{-1} \in R$. If C is artinian then so is B because B is finitely generated as a left (or right) C -module [KT, Theorem (1.7)]. Consequently if C is semisimple artinian then so is B . This is a generalization of [BM, Theorem 2.6] since Hopf crossed products $C \#_\sigma A$ are A -Galois extensions of C .

More generally, for any finitely generated projective Hopf algebra A , the author and M. Takeuchi have obtained in [DT, Theorem (3.14)] a necessary and sufficient condition for an A -Galois extension B/C to be separable, using the method of Miyashita-Ulbrich actions.

We next consider the induced functor $F = (-) \otimes_C B: \text{mod-}C \rightarrow \text{mod-}B$ and the restriction functor $H: \text{mod-}B \rightarrow \text{mod-}C$. F is always a left adjoint of H . It is interesting to ask when F is a right adjoint of H [RR, 1.1(B)]. We shall give a positive answer to this question.

We denote by A^* the dual Hopf algebra of A . A^* is a left A^* -module by multiplication, and hence a right A -comodule. Also we regard A^* as a right A -module where $(a^* \leftarrow a)(a') = a^*(a'S(a))$ for $a^* \in A^*$ and $a, a' \in A$. Then A^* becomes a right Hopf module over A , and hence $J \otimes A \rightarrow A^*, \lambda \otimes a \rightarrow \lambda \leftarrow a$, is

an isomorphism as A -Hopf modules [S, Section 4.1; Par, Section 3; KT, (1.1)(ii)], where J denotes the space of all left integrals in A^* . Consequently J is a finitely generated projective rank 1 R -module. Assume that J is a free R -module (e.g., $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$). Take $\lambda (\neq 0) \in J$. Then $\Theta : A \rightarrow A^*$, $a \mapsto \lambda \lrcorner a$, is a right A -module and right A -comodule isomorphism. Let $\Lambda \in A$ be the element satisfying $\lambda \lrcorner \Lambda = \varepsilon$. Then we have:

LEMMA. (i) Λ is a right integral with $\lambda(\Lambda) = 1 = \lambda(S(\Lambda))$.

(ii) Let B/C be an A -Galois extension, and let $\sum x_i \otimes y_i = \beta^{-1}(1 \otimes \Lambda)$. Also we define $\text{tr}(b) = \sum b_0 \lambda(b_1)$ for each $b \in B$. Then tr is a C -bimodule map from B onto C , and the following formula holds:

(d) $\sum x_i \text{tr}(y_i) = 1 = \sum \text{tr}(x_i) y_i$.

PROOF. (i) Since $\Theta(\Lambda a) = \Theta(\Lambda) \lrcorner a = \varepsilon \lrcorner a = \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon = \varepsilon(a) \Theta(\Lambda) = \Theta(\varepsilon(a) \Lambda)$ for all $a \in A$, hence Λ is a right integral. Substituting 1 to $\lambda \lrcorner \Lambda = \varepsilon$, we have $\lambda(S(\Lambda)) = 1$.

Since $\Theta : A \rightarrow A^*$ is a right A -comodule map it is a left A^* -module map, where we regard A as a left A^* -module via $a^* \rightharpoonup a = \sum a_1 a^*(a_2)$ for $a^* \in A^*$, $a \in A$. It follows that $\Theta^{-1}(a^*) = a^* \rightharpoonup \Lambda$ since $\Theta(a^* \rightharpoonup \Lambda) = a^* \Theta(\Lambda) = a^* \varepsilon = a^*$. In particular, we have $\lambda \lrcorner \Lambda = \Theta^{-1}(\lambda) = \Theta^{-1}\Theta(1) = 1$, so $\sum \Lambda_1 \lambda(\Lambda_2) = 1$. Applying ε to this, we get $\lambda(\Lambda) = 1$. We note that this argument is similar in spirit to [LR, Proposition 1.1].

(ii) By the definition of left integrals in A^* , $a^* \lambda = a^*(1) \lambda$ for all $a^* \in A^*$, equivalently we have $\sum a_i \lambda(a_j) = \lambda(a) 1$ for all $a \in A$. From this it is easy to see that $\text{tr}(b)$ is in C and tr is a C -bimodule map. Furthermore we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 B & \xleftarrow{\text{id} \otimes_c \text{tr}} & B \otimes_C B & \xrightarrow{\text{tr} \otimes_c \text{id}} & B \\
 & \nwarrow \text{id} \otimes \lambda & \searrow \beta & \searrow \beta' & \nearrow \text{id} \otimes \lambda \\
 & & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \otimes A
 \end{array}$$

here maps β' and α are defined by $\beta'(b \otimes b') = \sum b_0 b' \otimes b_1$ and $\alpha(b \otimes a) = \sum b_0 \otimes b_1 S(a)$. Combining this with $\lambda(\Lambda) = 1 = \lambda(S(\Lambda))$ above, we obtain the formula (d). \square

THEOREM 5. Let A be a finitely generated projective Hopf algebra over R such that the space of all left integrals in A^* is a free R -module. Let B/C be an A -

Galois extension, and let V be a right B -module and W a right C -module. Then there exists an R -module isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_C(V, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(V, W \otimes_C B)$$

which is functorial in V and W .

PROOF. For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(V, W)$, we define a B -module map $\xi(f): V \rightarrow W \otimes_C B$ by $\xi(f) = (\Phi_W f)^\sim$ (see (c) in the proof of Theorem 4), where $\Phi_W: W \rightarrow W \otimes_C B$, $w \rightarrow w \otimes_C 1$, thus $\xi(f)(v) = \sum f(vx_i) \otimes_C y_i$. Conversely, for $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(V, W \otimes_C B)$, define $\eta(g) \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(V, W)$ by $\eta(g) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes_C \mathrm{tr})g$. Then we have for all $v \in V$,

$$\eta(\xi(f))(v) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes_C \mathrm{tr})(\sum f(vx_i) \otimes_C y_i) = \sum f(vx_i) \mathrm{tr}(y_i) = \sum f(vx_i \mathrm{tr}(y_i)) = f(v)$$

(by (d)).

Let $v \in V$. If we denote $g(v) = \sum w_i \otimes_C b_j$ then for all $b \in B$ we have $g(vb) = \sum w_i \otimes_C b_j b$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\eta(g))(v) &= \sum (\mathrm{id} \otimes_C \mathrm{tr})g(vx_i) \otimes_C y_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} w_j \mathrm{tr}(b_j x_i) \otimes_C y_i \\ &= \sum_{i,j} w_i \otimes_C \mathrm{tr}(b_j x_i) y_i \\ &= \sum_j w_j \otimes_C \left(\sum_i \mathrm{tr}(x_i) y_i \right) b_j \quad (\text{by (a)}) \\ &= g(v) \quad (\text{by (d)}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\eta\xi$ and $\xi\eta$ both are identities, so that we have our desired isomorphism, which is clearly functorial in V and W . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank the referee for some valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [B] H. Bass, *Algebraic group actions on affine spaces*, in Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 43, Am. Math. Soc., 1985, pp. 1–23.
- [BM] R. J. Blattner and S. Montgomery, *Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **137** (1989), 37–54.
- [D1] Y. Doi, *Algebras with total integrals*, Commun. Algebra **13** (1985), 2137–2159.

[D2] Y. Doi, *Equivalent crossed products for a Hopf algebra*, Commun. Algebra. **17** (1989), 3053–3085.

[DT] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, *Hopf–Galois extensions of algebras, the Miyashita–Ulbrich action, and Azumaya algebras*, J. Algebra **121** (1989), 488–516.

[KT] H. F. Kreimer and M. Takeuchi, *Hopf algebras and Galois extensions of an algebra*, Indiana Math. J. **30** (1981), 675–692.

[LR] R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, *Finite dimensional cosemisimple Hopf algebras in characteristic 0 are semisimple*, J. Algebra **117** (1988), 267–289.

[Par] B. Pareigis, *When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras*, J. Algebra **18** (1971), 588–596.

[Pas] D. S. Passman, *It's essentially Maschke's theorem*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **13** (1983), 37–54.

[RR] I. Reiten and C. Riedtmann, *Skew group algebras in the representation theory of artin algebras*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 224–282.

[S] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[U] K.-H. Ulbrich, *Vollgraduierte Algebren*, Abhand. Math. Sem. Universitat Hamburg **51** (1981), 136–148.